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Abstract

Australia is a global exemplar of nation-building through government planned and administered skilled, family and humanitarian migration programs. By 2011 26% of the population were immigrants, at a time when extraordinary linguistics, religious, racial and cultural diversity were evident. The federal government’s role since the 1901 establishment of the Commonwealth of Australia has spanned migration policy formation, selection, admission, compliance and naturalization functions. The settlement responsibilities of the eight state and territory governments have also grown – a process facilitated by generally amicable federal – subnational relations. Within this context this article describes contemporary Australian approaches to managing linguistic, religious and artistic diversity, comparing federal and state government roles in a period associated with significant multicultural challenges.
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1. The Australian Immigration Context

1.1 The Immigration Context - Federal and State Roles

Australia is a global exemplar of nation-building through government planned and administered skilled, family and humanitarian migration programs. By 2006 it had the world’s highest percentage of foreign-born (24%, with 44% of Australians either immigrants or the children of immigrants), followed by New Zealand (23%), Canada (20%) and the United States (11%). Population growth has continued unabated since. By 2011 26% of the population was overseas-born, fuelled by net annual gains of up to 400,000 people. Australia’s migration target for 2014-2015 was set at 218,000 permanent residents (spanning migrants and refugees). In addition to these arrivals, the government admitted large numbers of long-stay temporary migrants – many of whom are likely to category-switch and stay. By 2014-15 Australia operated five key immigration pathways:

- Skilled: Two-thirds of permanent places were allocated to skilled migrants, selected on the basis of human capital attributes to contribute to Australia’s future economic development (128,550 places in 2014-2015 compared to 20,210 in 1994-95).
- Family and humanitarian: An additional 60,686 permanent migrants were admitted under the family category (compared to 37,098 in 1994-1995). A further 13-18,000 humanitarian migrants would be selected (the great majority from offshore rather than as direct flight or boat arrivals).
- Temporary foreign workers: Up to 130,000 temporary foreign workers are also annually resident, selected by employers to work in under-supplied sectors or sites for up to four years, through Australia’s highly deregulated temporary entry program (negligible numbers of temporary workers were admitted in 1994-95).
- International students: Further 526,932 international students were enrolled in Australia in 2015 (compared to around 87,000 in 1993-1994). A third will ultimately stay – like temporary foreign workers converting to skilled migrant status.

The federal government’s role since the establishment of the Commonwealth of Australia in 1901 has spanned migration policy formation, selection, admission, compliance and naturalization functions. Increasingly it has also funded settlement services in the past 60 years, delivered by subnational authorities. The migration responsibilities of Australia’s eight state and territory governments have also grown, with a strong social and economic integration focus. Few debates on migration, multiculturalism and Indigenous affairs have concerned federalism to date, despite frequent challenges to Commonwealth dominance in the contested jurisdictions of health, education and tax (with calls for “reform of the distribution of powers and the financial arrangements of the federation”). The federal government is deemed to be a “unifying migration and settlement force”, in a context where:

- local politics is typically concerned with matters such as the maintenance of local roads and services; state politics is usually concerned with matters such as education, hospitals and policing; and federal politics is largely concerned with matters such as economic management, taxation, social services, and international affairs.

1.2 The Evolution of Australian Multicultural Policy

According to Hawthorne, in the first global study to compare federal and state roles in relation to immigration and integration, Australia embarked on a mass post-war immigration program in 1946 following decades of sustained (predominantly British) intakes. The White Australia Policy, introduced through the Immigration Restriction Act in 1901, was maintained until 1973 as a bar to Asian and other “coloured” migration. From 1945 to 2012, 7 million people were admitted as settlers, including 185,099 arriving in the peak year of 1969-70. Migration from the United Kingdom (UK) was first prioritised, along with the selection of ex-service personnel and resistance fighters from Europe. Initiating Australia’s commitment to humanitarian entry, agreements were reached with the International Refugee Office to settle a minimum of 12,000 displaced Europeans a year, resulting in 170,000 East European arrivals between 1947 and 1954. By the 1950s and 1960s the Netherlands, Germany, Italy, Greece, Turkey and Yugoslavia had also emerged as key migration sources, triggered by domestic political and/or economic events. Major intakes of Hungarian (1956), Czech (1968) and Chilean (1973) refugees followed, with sustained flows from Vietnam occurring from 1975 (constituting a 300,000+ minority by 2015). Migration to Australia has reflected virtually every geopolitical event since, in a context where a policy of multiculturalism was foreshadowed in 1973, adopted from 1978, and immigration became non-discriminatory and truly global.
In 1989 a National Agenda for a Multicultural Australia was established, following extensive national consultations. Eight specific goals were endorsed, including “that all Australians should have a commitment to Australia, be able to enjoy the basic right of freedom from discrimination, have equitable access to government resources, and have the opportunity to develop proficiency in English and other languages and share their cultural heritage”. To achieve such goals, multiple policies were expanded and refined across the next 25 years. These were applied to a wide range of field-specific contexts (including education, employment, health, the law and cultural development). In terms of health, for instance, there was growing Australian demand for the provision of bilingual bicultural care by the 1990s, generated by ethnic organisations in alliance with health policymakers and educators. Key drivers included Australia’s growing cultural and linguistic diversity (with migrants derived from over 200 source countries), limited patient access to translation and interpreter services, and concern at ethnocentrism in “mainstream” health service provision as the population diversified. Reflecting doctors’ and nurses’ position as the “frontline providers” of hospital-based care, calls were made for the recruitment of non-English speaking background (NESB) professionals to service major ethnic communities, in addition to the embedding of transcultural training in medical and allied health degree courses. Comparable trends were evident across multiple fields, in a context where cross-cultural training courses proliferated in Australia (with over 200 offered by 1996).

1.3 The Impact of Changing Immigrant Source Countries on Population Diversity

By 1994 Australia had a population of 17,849,000 people, including around 380,000 citizens of Indigenous ancestry spanning 50,000 or more years. In 1994-95 the government admitted a further 87,428 permanent migrants and refugees derived from highly diverse regions. Asia was dominant – the source of 32,376 new migrants, far eclipsing the 25,523 arrivals from Europe:

- Europe and the former USSR: 25,523
- South East Asia: 14,861
- Oceania (principally New Zealand): 13,106
- North East Asia: 9,899
- Southern Asia: 7,616
- Middle East and North Africa: 7,146
- Africa: 4,857
- North America: 2,576
- Southern, Central and Caribbean America: 1,329

Australian diversity has escalated rapidly in the decades since. Most notably by 2013 seven of Australia's top 10 source countries for skilled and family migrants were located in Asia (primarily India, China and the Philippines). Temporary foreign workers were selected from highly developed OECD countries (principally the UK, Ireland, US, Canada and France) in addition to Asia (India, China, the Philippines, Korea and Nepal). Asia was the primary source for international student flows (85% of enrolments), with the top five birthplaces by 2014 China, India, Korea, Vietnam and Brazil. Reflecting contemporary geo-political events, humanitarian migrants in 2012-13 were from the Middle East, Africa and South Asia – primarily Iraq, Afghanistan, Myanmar, Bhutan and the Congo. Direct asylum-seeking arrivals were mostly from Afghanistan, Iran, Sri Lanka, Pakistan and Iraq (see Table 1).

### Table 1: Top 10 Countries of Birth for New Persons Granted Visas, by Immigration Category (2012–13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Permanent (Skilled + Family)</th>
<th>Permanent (Humanitarian)</th>
<th>Temporary Foreign Workers</th>
<th>International Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>189,158</td>
<td>20,019</td>
<td>98,570</td>
<td>259,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Skilled: 128,973 Offshore: 12,515</td>
<td>457 long-stay visa</td>
<td>International student visas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>60,185</td>
<td>Onshore: 7,504</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top sources</td>
<td>2012-13 offshore*:</td>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>(40,051)</td>
<td>(4,064)</td>
<td>(24,520)</td>
<td>(54,015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>(27,334)</td>
<td>(2,431)</td>
<td>(16,710)</td>
<td>(24,808)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>(21,711)</td>
<td>(2,352)</td>
<td>(6,160)</td>
<td>(12,942)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>(10,639)</td>
<td>(1,023)</td>
<td>(5,950)</td>
<td>(10,725)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Africa</td>
<td>(4,476)</td>
<td>(469)</td>
<td>(5,720)</td>
<td>(10,682)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>(5,339)</td>
<td>(471)</td>
<td>(5,470)</td>
<td>(7,598)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>(5,258)</td>
<td>(396)</td>
<td>(3,320)</td>
<td>(9,143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>(5,209)</td>
<td>(319)</td>
<td>(2,090)</td>
<td>(8,084)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>(5,151)</td>
<td>(185)</td>
<td>(2,010)</td>
<td>(8,060)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>(4,987)</td>
<td>(182)</td>
<td>(1,930)</td>
<td>(7,598)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Adapted from a wide range of Department of Immigration and Citizenship and Department of Border Protection 2013-14 reports listed in References.
1.4 Recent Pressures on Australian Multiculturalism – 2001-2014

Within this fast changing demographic context, successive Australian governments have maintained bipartisan support for mass migration and population growth. They have continually championed the value of ethnic, linguistic and religious diversity. At the same time, since the attack on the New York World Trade Towers in September 2001 the tenor of public debate concerning multiculturalism has changed (in line with recent trends in Europe and North America). Australian soldiers have engaged in wars in Afghanistan (continuing) and Iraq, as a key ally in the United States “Coalition of the Willing”. Perceptions of multiculturalism have been tainted by successive events in the Middle East, by the 2002 Bali bombings (where Australian tourists were targeted and 88 killed), and by the perceived risk of “home-grown terrorism” (exacerbated by the Sydney siege in December 2014, where hostages were taken captive by a former Iranian asylum-seeker). Such developments have coincided with unprecedented growth in Islamic migration - most notably the escalating number of undocumented “illegal maritime arrivals” (IMAs) brought to the Australian coast by people-smugglers. In 2008-09 just 678 IMAs applied for asylum in Australia. This rose to 18,119 in 2012-13 – polarising national debate and triggering the adoption of punitive bipartisan measures (ranging from the mandatory detention of IMAs to the excision of select Australian offshore territories).

In 2013 Australia’s conservative Liberal-National parties fought the federal election on three key platforms: migration (“stopping the boats”); fiscal contraction (removal of the national deficit), and abolition of environmental controls (the previous government’s emissions reduction scheme). Following their resounding victory, the federal Department of Immigration and Citizenship was re-named the Department of Immigration and Border Protection (DIBP) – its emphasis for the first time squarely placed on compliance functions. The Department’s 2013-14 Annual Report stated the government’s priorities in the period ahead were to “enhance Australia’s national security, economy and society, through effective border protection, targeted temporary and permanent migration, and humanitarian and citizenship programmes.”

In global terms Australia represents an outstandingly tolerant society, like the US and Canada. In recent decades it has absorbed an extraordinary level of cultural, racial and religious diversity with remarkably little backlash. Despite this, the case studies to follow explore select aspects of Australian multiculturalism at a time of growing policy tension. Emphasis is placed on the federal government’s role, compared to select subnational initiatives. Close to 80% of Australia’s population live in just three states: New South Wales (32%), Victoria (25%) and Queensland (20%). By 2011 Victoria was the top settlement site for migrants (home to 29% of the foreign-born), followed by NSW (28%), and Queensland (23%), noting these states have attracted very diverse streams. (See Table 2.)

Table 2: Top Source Countries for New Migrants, New South Wales, Victoria and Queensland (2012-13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Migrants to Total</th>
<th>Total Migrants to Total</th>
<th>Total Migrants to Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSW Arrivals</td>
<td>Victoria Arrivals</td>
<td>Queensland Arrivals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India 11,856</td>
<td>India 10 568</td>
<td>New Zealand 9 469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China 10,397</td>
<td>China 8 985</td>
<td>India 4 511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK 6,426</td>
<td>New Zealand 6 281</td>
<td>UK 4 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand 5,246</td>
<td>UK 4 192</td>
<td>China 2 828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines 2,899</td>
<td>Sri Lanka 2 554</td>
<td>Philippines 2 438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq 2,498</td>
<td>Malaysia 2 012</td>
<td>South Africa 1 865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea 2,231</td>
<td>Vietnam 1 957</td>
<td>Korea 1 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam 1,951</td>
<td>Philippines 1 942</td>
<td>Vietnam 1 071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal 1,913</td>
<td>Afghanistan 1 520</td>
<td>Sri Lanka 751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa 1,747</td>
<td>Pakistan 1 426</td>
<td>Ireland 705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 28,799</td>
<td>Other 22 085</td>
<td>Other 15 243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 76,196</td>
<td>Total 63 738</td>
<td>Total 44 338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Department of Immigration and Border Protection, Migration to Australia’s States and Territories 2012-13, Canberra, 2014, Tables 18, 24 and 30, p.26, 36, 46.

1.5 State Compared to Federal Roles in Immigrant Integration

State governments have a major commitment to facilitating migrants’ social and employment integration, as is the case in Canada. The case studies to follow reference activities in the key states of NSW, Victoria and Queensland, which have developed dedicated multicultural structures. New South Wales, for example, established an Ethnic Affairs Council in 1975 followed by an Ethnic Affairs Commission. Its landmark Ethnic Affairs Policy Statement in 1983 was designed to achieve “systemic reform and change the attitudes of the bureaucracy towards the provision of services to better meet the needs of a diverse population.” Hailed as world best practice and a “cornerstone” of effective public sector practice, this policy first focused on welfare and equity, followed (from the 1990s) by sustained championing of cultural diversity “as an economic and social resource.” By 1993 ethnic affairs had become a stand-alone ministry in NSW, with 200 government departments and agencies required to report on multicultural initiatives. In 2000 this was extended to 160 local councils following establishment of the Community Relations Commission and Principles of Multiculturalism Act. Major hospitals and corporatized bodies were included, based on a constantly broadened definition of public authorities and strong accountability requirements. The state’s Charter of Principles for a Culturally Diverse Society defined the following rights-based framework:

1. All individuals in NSW should have the greatest possible opportunity to contribute to, and participate in, all levels of public life.

2. All individuals and public institutions should respect and accommodate the culture, language and religion of others within an Australian legal institutional framework where English is the primary language.
3. All individuals should have the greatest possible opportunity to make use of and participate in relevant activities and programs provided and/or administered by NSW Government institutions.

4. All NSW public institutions should recognize the linguistic and cultural assets in the NSW population as a valuable resource and utilize and promote this resource to maximize the development of the state.

To achieve national migration goals the Australian government has also made a sustained investment in settlement services. The aim is to facilitate the socio-economic integration of non-British migrants, aligned with state-based initiatives. A range of such initiatives represent world best practice, despite inevitable fiscal limits. Following Australia’s visionary 1978 and 1981 Galbally reviews of multiculturalism, their aim is transitional support, stressing “the self-help nature of minority communities, in areas such as welfare, language maintenance, and religious identification”, with public institutions expected “only to support, not carry or implement, many of these initiatives”. A wide range of measures are involved, including Australia’s innovative multilingual Special Broadcasting Services, designed to address the linguistic, cultural and ethno-specific needs of immigrant Australians at multiple stages of the life cycle. All states/territories are deeply engaged in these processes. In Victoria, for instance, initiatives include multicultural policing, aged care, a highly specialised health interpreter service, strategies to facilitate foreign credential recognition, and field-specific labour market integration programs.

The federal government supports such processes through substantial fiscal powers (collecting 60-75% of total revenue compared to 45% by federal governments in Canada and Switzerland and 54% in the US). Integration services are largely managed by state governments with federal funding support. In 2008-09 the Immigration Department’s budget allocation included $A 275,925,000 in outlays for migrant settlement, citizenship and social cohabitation programs, in addition to $A 190,581,000 for program management and compliance. In 2013-14 the Department reported spending $A 1,575,610,000 on the “net cost of services”, including $A 62,442,000 for integration grants to non-profit organisations.

In 2014-15 Australia’s budget for settlement services was set at $A 65,059,000, to facilitate the “equitable economic and social participation of migrants and refugees”. Much was allocated to English language training, and the provision of free interpreting services (with 1.4 million phone and 81,823 on-site services delivered free the previous year). Further federal funding is channelled through external federal and state/territory portfolios – including education, employment, health and arts departments. Four major service provision models currently dominate in Australia:

- **Mainstream** – For example social security benefits delivered to unemployed migrants through the federal employment and education ministry.
- **Migrant-specific** – For example the Adult Migrant English Program (AMEP), delivered on-arrival and followed by employment-related English training.
- **Category-specific** – For example catering to the needs of refugees, such as Melbourne and Sydney-based services addressing the mental and physical needs of survivors of torture and trauma.
- **Ethno-specific** – Programs delivered through ethnic community grants, for example to support leadership and program development, such as the establishment of ethno-specific women’s refuges, crèche services, tutoring services for refugee youth etc.

### 2. Linguistic Diversity and Development of English Skills

#### 2.1 Australia’s Language Policy

As is clear from the above, Australia has long been characterised by extraordinary linguistic diversity. At the time of first British settlement in 1788, the Indigenous population spoke an estimated 350-750 languages/dialects, declining to fewer than 150 in daily use by 2011. Migration has since transformed the range of community languages used, with the right to language maintenance constituting a core plank of Australian multiculturalism. To support this process, the federal government in 1988 promulgated a National Policy on Languages, defining four rationales for second language learning and maintenance: Enrichment (cultural and intellectual), Economics (foreign trade and vocation), Equality (social justice and overcoming disadvantages) and External (Australia’s role in the region and the world). Two such principles are worth quoting at length, to illustrate the direction of policy:

- **Equity and cohesion value**: Action taken as a result of the national language policy will emphasise the need for social and national cohesion specific in Australia while simultaneously recognising the diversity of the society and the inherent benefits of this diversity. Australia has adopted policies of multiculturalism i.e. equity for all community groups and cultural diversity within national cohesion and unity…
- **Geo-political value**: Australia’s geographical proximity to the Asian / Pacific / Indian Ocean region to countries which use languages other than English carries specific implications for a national languages policy… Australia’s economic, trade, diplomatic, intellectual, cultural, political and security interests require that a large pool of Australians gain skilled and proficient knowledge of the languages of our region and world languages.

According to a 1991 review of linguistic diversity conducted by Clyne, Australia gathered no statistical data on language use until the 1976 Census. Following this, data on the top 14 languages were first secured: English, Italian, Greek, German, Serbo-Croatian, French, Dutch, Polish, Arabic, Spanish. Maltese and “Aboriginal” [with multiple Indigenous languages conflated to one]. Vast numbers of immigrants at this time spoke languages other than English (LOTE) at home, most notably 17% of the population in Victoria, 12% in NSW and 6% in Queensland. A decade later the establishment of ethno-specific women’s refuges, crèche services, tutoring services for refugee youth etc.

#### 2.2 Australia’s Investment in English Language Training

A wide range of linguists have described Australia’s community language diversity. Less attention has been paid to trends in relation to English – the level of Australian investment in training, along with growing implementation of language testing to foster social cohesion.

Australia’s federal government has made sustained investment in English training for recently arrived migrants for decades. The Adult Migrant English Program commenced with “shipboard English” in the late 1940s, a service since vastly professionalized and expanded. By 1991 the AMEP was the largest government-funded adult English language teaching program in the world, and an example of global best practice. “Learner pathways” were designed at first point of contact, to guide migrants from acquisition of basic English to achieve employment or study goals supported by federally funded training. In 2012-2013 the AMEP provided tuition to 27 contracts to more than 59,754 clients from 198 countries and 252 language groups – with the top three source countries at this time China, Afghanistan and Vietnam. Classes were held in 274 locations, most delivered by subnational not-for-profit agencies. The program reached 52% of new migrants, facilitated by counselling services delivered to 64,000 clients that year. Migrants lacking functional English are entitled to receive up to 510 hours of English tuition in the first 5 years post-arrival or “the number of hours it takes to reach functional English (whatever comes first)”, with the average client receiving 369 hours of teaching. Following this, the settlement language pathways to employment and training program delivered eligible migrants an additional
200 hours of English tuition, including up to 80 hours of work experience. 2,639 migrants participated in this program in 2012-2013, focused on "understanding (ing) Australian workplace culture and practices, work ethics, employment processes, occupation health and safety, and taxation requirements". State governments contributed additional funds, within ethnic affairs policy frameworks. Comparable initiatives existed at the school level to cater to child migrants, including intensive support for at-risk groups (for example unaccompanied refugee minors).

2.3 Australia's Recent Expansion of Language Testing

Beyond English language teaching, it is important to note the past decade has coincided with rapid growth in the use of English language testing, with profound social and economic impacts. English testing has been used as a tool to determine "bona fide" refugees, as the government grapples to contain the scale of "illegal maritime arrivals" (onshore asylum seekers). It has become a filter for citizenship, as in the UK, the USA, Canada and the Netherlands. An English language and values test was introduced with bipartisan support in 2007 to screen applicants, reflecting the view that "a coherent set of national values" would "help protect Australia in... uncertain times". The government's aim is to "encourage prospective citizens to... support successful integration into Australian society... to demonstrate in an objective way that they have the required knowledge of Australia, including the responsibilities and privileges of citizenship, and a basic knowledge and comprehension of English". In 2010 90% of skilled category applicants passed the test on their first or subsequent attempts, compared to 94% of family migrants, but just 79% of refugees (typically derived from the Middle East, Asia and Africa). By 2012-2013 98% of applicants passed the test, and in 2013-14, 213,885 migrants applied, of whom 83% were approved.

Beyond access to citizenship, English language testing has increasingly been used to determine the entry of skilled migrants.IELTS (the International English Language Testing System) and TOEFL (the Test of English as a Foreign Language) have been the dominant instruments to date, with high life stakes for candidates. There is a strong empirical rationale for this policy. A series of reports from the 1980s demonstrated poor English to triple the unemployment risk for migrant males, while doubling it for females, representing "an awesome and devastating barrier" at every stage of the employment life cycle in Australia. From 1993 the federal government mandated English testing for skilled principal applicants, commencing with 114 "Occupations Requiring English" (since extended to all fields). To be eligible to migrate, applicants were required to prove they possessed "vocational" English – a process markedly favouring native English speakers.

The impact of English testing on skilled migrant selection has intensified since. In 2010-2012 the Immigration Department reviewed selection procedures, to maximise selection of migrants "with the high value, nation-building skills that are needed by the Australian economy and labour market". Impacts have been profound, although it is important to note testing English (as demonstrated) has not reduced immigrant diversity. Instead, testing filters primary applicants to select non-native speakers characterised by advanced levels of English. By 2011, for example, 62% of dental applicants passed the health-specific Occupational English Test on their first or subsequent attempt, compared to 52% of doctors, 38% of pharmacists but just 17% of nurses (with candidates from the Philippines and Japan performing poorly). From 1993 the federal government mandated English testing for skilled category applicants with native or near-native English ability were awarded the highest migration points (with close to a third of the points required allocated to applicants scoring IELTS Band 8 or above). Comparable strategies prevail in other immigrant-receiving countries, such as New Zealand and Canada. Along with employment social cohesion is a major motive. The government seeks to enhance host country language ability, in order to minimise ethnic separatism at a time of growing linguistic and racial diversity.

Figure 1: Impact of English Language Testing on Migrant Health Professionals by Major Field (2005-2011)
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3.2 Contemporary Concern – Growth in Islamic Migration

Australian concern for “Islamisation” has been expressed in multiple ways in recent years, including the following:

- Asylum-seekers: Community support for punitive bipartisan measures designed to “stop the boats”, and minimise the direct arrival of asylum seekers in Australia.
- Religious leaders: Steps taken to minimise the importation of imams trained overseas who are perceived as lacking “Australian values”, plus initiatives to monitor and re-train “conservative” resident imams.
- Islamic schools: Concern for ethno-centrism, separatism and potential political proselytising at Muslim schools, including their exclusion of mainstream teaching staff.
- Mosques: Opposition to the establishment of new mosques, including in regional sites – typically couched as a desire to minimise noise and “street traffic”.
- Dress: Consideration given to barring women from wearing the hijab in national parliament, or when testifying in courts of law (defeated).
- Racial vilification: The conservative government’s 2014 attempt to weaken Australia’s racial vilification laws (abandoned mid-year following widespread concern from “multicultural and Aboriginal communities… the legal profession, human rights experts, psychologists and public health professionals”, in the context of Australia’s “emphatic affirmation of… commitment to racial tolerance”45.
- Festivals: Contestation of “political correctness” in education sites – for example kindergartens and schools barring the celebration of Christmas or Easter to avoid giving offence to “other faiths”.

Examples of significant unrest in Australia are few, despite individual acts of aggression, abuse and discrimination (for example targeting women wearing the hijab). This process is not left to chance however. The federal government makes a major policy investment in the promotion of civic “harmony”, with states playing a key integration role. Their scope is large – focused on new immigrants but also second generation youth “grappling with issues of identity and participation [and] young people of all backgrounds… creating their own dynamic brand of Australian multiculturalism”46. The focus is migrants but also mainstream society.

In 2005, for instance, race riots between Lebanese and Australian youth erupted on a popular Sydney surfing beach (Cronulla), confronting “national and international audiences with reports of alcohol-fuelled violence against people of ‘Middle Eastern appearance’ by demonstrators clad in Australian flags, and violent reprisal attacks that followed47. State-specific integration initiatives swung into action, backed by the Council of Australian Governments’ National Action to Build on Social Cohesion, Harmony and Security48. Multiple youth-focused programs were developed in the following years, including leadership training, outreach to Muslim Australians (42 community projects by 2010 to facilitate interaction between Muslim and non-Muslim youth), inter-faith initiatives, school-based support and ethnic community capacity-building. For example aspeical outreach program was established for young Australians of Middle Eastern origin to encourage them train with local youth as surf lifesavers. Pro-active initiatives exist across most states. Victoria, for example, provides support for around 700 multicultural festivals per year to promote inter-ethnic engagement. It delivers a vast array of additional programs, and funds migrant organisation infrastructure49.

Despite recent tension, spontaneous public goodwill is frequently evident. Most recently, in December 2014, a former Iranian asylum seeker in Sydney took multiple hostages in a Lindt café. Two died, in a context where dual responses were immediately evident. Within hours of the siege a social media campaign had sprung into life generated by young Australians. Affirming solidarity and support for local Muslims, 300,000 followers signed on to an “I’ll Ride With You” campaign in its first day. At the same time however, Australia’s most prominent tabloid columnist highlighted the perceived danger of Islamic extremism relative to other immigrant faiths:

> Islamic groups claimed nothing in their faith licensed this ghastly attack… Except, of course, we rarely get damaged individuals killing people in chocolate shops in the name of Buddhism. We don’t get damaged individuals beheading a British soldier in the name of Christ. We don’t get damaged individuals shooting a Canadian soldier guarding Canada’s Cenotaph… or trying to blow up jets with explosives in their shoes or underwear in the name of any faith other than Islam…. Must we always felg this surprise when a terrorist is found to be – gasp – Muslim? Surely we can finally drop this absurd game given that 21 of the 21 people jailed for terrorism offences here in the past couple of decades were Muslim, as are 19 of the 20 proscribed terrorist groups in Australia50.

Australian federal and state governments continue to address these issues. They invest major sums in facilitating multicultural “harmony”, including attempts to minimise Islamic marginalisation. Fear drives many such programs. In 2014-15 the federal government spent $413 million “on education, youth activities and employment programs for young Muslims at risk of becoming radical jihadists”, as part of its $A650 million counter-terrorism package. This was dramatically upgraded in 2015 with $22 million to be spent “fighting terrorist propaganda, which is used by organisations like Islamic State to recruit young Australians through the internet and social media51,52. At the same time opinion polls suggest local Muslims feel increasingly and unfairly targeted53.

4. Artistic Diversity and Media Representation

4.1 National and State Cultural Policies and Initiatives

Finally, it is worth briefly examining measures designed to support artistic diversity in Australia – focused in this instance on the use of multicultural arts as a key integration strategy, compared to ongoing challenges related to media representation.

Australia’s Creative Nation policy was promulgated two decades back by a Labor government (1994). The aim was to provide cultural leadership, including support for diversity in relation to the arts. Australia supports the UNESCO Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions, including “access, participation and celebration of the Arts in their diverse forms (as) a human right”. National and state arts policies have proliferated in recent decades - designed to reach and engage with diverse ethnic groups, through initiatives enacted at federal, state and local levels. Since 2006 the Australia Council for the Arts’ multicultural policy has specifically aimed:

1. To increase culturally inclusive leadership by:
a) ensuring governance is a culturally inclusive process.

b) integrating multicultural aims in each of the Council's activity areas.

c) increasing culturally diverse representation in the arts.

2. To enable the participation in the arts for all Australians by:

a) delivering specific audience and market development strategies.

b) increasing awareness of and access to the Council's programs.

c) brokering and engaging in partnerships.

3. To support the development of creative content which reflects a multicultural Australia by:

a) encouraging cultural inclusiveness.

b) supporting multicultural arts industry infrastructure.

c) supporting content development.

d) encouraging creativity which spans the spectrum of tradition and innovation.

4. To encourage creative interfaces between Indigenous and non-English speaking background artists by facilitating cultural exchanges. The Brisbane Multicultural Arts Centre, for instance, is "the lead agency in Queensland for the development, presentation, promotion and advocacy of arts by migrant and refugee artists and their communities in Queensland", supported by a 24 year history. The Centre is active statewide, affirming the contribution of diverse communities to Australian cultural life, with the aim of facilitating "a richer and more vibrant cultural life for all Queenslanders". In terms of policy, the Centre is aligned with the Ethnic Communities Council Queensland, the Multicultural Development Association, and a wide range of additional state or regional bodies. The Multicultural Development Association (for example) is a lead Brisbane employment and advocacy organisation designed to support migrants and refugees, including through cultural arts events with a capacity to attract "large crowds and media interest". Supported by federal government funding it employs 90 permanent staff, 200 cultural support workers, and an extensive volunteer network. Despite this, concern has been expressed in Queensland that "Across the arts sector, (population) diversity is not adequately reflected in practice or addressed in detail at a policy level. Arts Queensland has no articulated framework, action plan or policy for addressing the specific issues of access, development and leadership in a multicultural context and federally, there is no funding pool attached to the Arts in a Multicultural Australia policy".

Ethnic groups similarly receive significant specialist attention in Victoria, including those who have recently arrived. By 2014 Multicultural Arts Victoria offered a wide range of programs and awards, spanning both visual and performance arts. Initiatives included business training for arts practice, arts and cultural development scholarships for refugee youth, funding for short film development, photographic festivals to celebrate migration and refugees or represent ethno-specific groups, and multiple music performances (for example African drummers and Eritrean bass players). Multicultural Arts Victoria describes itself as "proudly represent(ing) artists and communities from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds... both emerging and established artists and communities from all cultures". Over 2,000 artists and 800 groups are involved, with their talents showcased to "audiences of 800,000 yearly" (for example at world music cafes). At the same time the arts sector is chronically under-funded in all states. There is also often minimal interest in "niche" arts from mainstream Australia.

4.2 Barriers to Media Representation

In relation to film, television and theatre, racially and culturally diverse actors/presenters face sustained barriers to achieving media representation and - most critically - employment. Casting barriers can take decades (if ever) to overcome, exacerbated by the notorious parochy and parsimoniousness of Australian arts employment. From the time of its establishment in the late 1970s, Australia's Special Broadcasting Services (SBS) went beyond blonde, blue-eyed nubile presenters. SBS incorporated first generation and Indigenous Australians from diverse backgrounds. Few such initiatives however were replicated by commercial media. The idealised "face of Australia" remains Caucasian; the spoken voice "accentless". Exoticism is seldom prized, except for fashion models. The significance of media exclusion for migrants has been documented for decades (as it has for female presenters or actors who reach middle age).

According to a policy analyst two decades back, ethnic "invisibility" has similarly high stakes. Australia needs to be accurately documented. The media has "a strong role in shaping a sense of nationhood and in creating indentificatory means for the individuals composing the national public", supported by the production and circulation of "highly affective cultural texts". In 1997 an Australia Council report lambasted the typecasting of ethnic actors, as "the taxi driver, the cook and the greengrocer". Based on widespread media surveys it confirmed migrant Australians to be under-represented in film, theatre and television, with marginalisation:

... particularly pronounced for people of Asian, European and Russian backgrounds... From an artistic viewpoint, there is also evidence that (non English-speaking background) artists are largely restricted to minor, tokenistic or stereotyped roles which fail to offer a broad spectrum of performing opportunities (particularly in) mainstream, commercial or funded theatres.

Adding insult to injury, "cultural appropriation" was reported to occur – "when culturally specific roles were played by Anglo-Celtic actors" daubed with make-up and false accents. An analysis of Home and Away, for instance (Australia's globally successful youth-oriented soap opera), found in the first five years that the series offered viewers ethnic invisibility of the highest order – a reassuring pre-mass-migration vision of Australia, people by unassailably Anglo characters. The device of centering the series around a succession of fostered children provided an excellent rationale for ethnic exclusion. Two boys, for example, were acknowledged as of Italian and Lebanese descent. By definition each had been abandoned by his natural family, leaving him to identify as 100% "Australian" in terms of language, culture and personal style. Unlike the bulk of Australian country towns, "Summer Bay" lacked an Italian pizza parlour, a Chinese restaurant, or a Greek milk bar. In the program's first six years just four plotlines focused on "alien" Aboriginal, Yugoslav, Italian and Chinese characters. Each was ruthlessly despatched within a matter of episodes – for example the Chinese girl recalled overseas, the Yugoslav father killed in a plane accident, and the Italian exchange student obliterated by an express train.

Despite recent improvements, such findings have been endlessly repeated since, in relation to both the latest immigrant arrivals and Indigenous Australians. According to analysts racial, religious and linguistic marginalisation persists - obscuring the easy everyday ethnic interplay which is mostly the mark of contemporary Australia. Positive signs exist. In 2014 Australia's second long-running soap series (Neighbours) cast its first Indigenous actor after 30 years. First and second generation migrants are increasingly prominent as writers, actors and comedians. Australia's 2014 X Factor was won by a Korean-Australian. However a report that year still called for "colour blind casting" – Actors Equity Australia supporting the US Actors Equity policy of open auditions for all roles, with "final casting reportable to the union". Indigenous actors stated they fail to be considered for "main stage roles". An actor of Syrian-Lebanese descent recently reported being "boxed into ethnic roles". I played Indian, Sri Lankan and even an Aborigine once... Now, the more terrorism that happens in the world, I'm only seen for Arab roles, or aggressive characters. I've been told I'm the 'dark option'....

According to a range of analysts, such casting can be socially hazardous. Some warn that the media's "narrative of ethnic violence" may spur marginalisation, intensifying host societies' wish "to segregate, isolate, and remove those who might contaminate" in an age of globalisation.
5. Conclusion

These are tense issues in contemporary Australian society, at a time when the merits of multiculturalism are being re-appraised. As demonstrated, Australia has absorbed extraordinary numbers of migrants in recent decades, supported by widespread public acceptance. It currently admits around 300,000 migrants per year, on a permanent or long term temporary basis, at a time when 550,000 international students are also resident. Contemporary migrants however are from rapidly changing racial and religious backgrounds. While Asian source countries predominated, in the past decades there have been growing racial and African flows, at a time when Middle Eastern and African streams have been skewed by the World Trade Centre bombings (2001), followed by more than a decade of Australian engagement in Middle Eastern wars and related crises.

Within this context federal and state governments have intensified their development of multicultural programs to facilitate migrants’ social and economic integration. Community engagement is strong, and there is strong overall uptake. At the same time specific challenges are unquestionably perceived to exist in relation to select Islamic Australians. As noted by a recent conservative analyst (writing in the respected national newspaper, The Australian):

It (now) pays to choose one’s words carefully on the topic of multiculturalism since the penalty for giving offence is high. So let’s just say that the evolution of Australian jihadi – migrants or their offspring who have decided they want to kill us – suggests the multicultural voyage has hit a rocky spot. It’s a pity because in almost every other respect multiculturalism has been brilliant. We’re getting on splendidly, thank you… Australians are more capable of adopting a live-and-let-live attitude than some give them credit for. Yet the intercultural tension between Islam and mainstream Australian values should not be ignored, least of all by institutions such as (Ethnic Community Councils which receive) grants to help maintain the social fabric.

The contemporary situation is associated with greater tension than recent decades. A growing number of Muslims, without question, feel stigmatised and marginalised. Despite this pro-active multiculturalism has worked impressively as a policy for Australia for over 40 years. There have been four essential elements to this success: strong political leadership, sustained federal and state government funding, development of multiple sector ‘niche’ initiatives, and ongoing societal monitoring to assess policy effects.
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